



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of  
Yoshiyuki SOGAWA

Serial No.: 10/669,790

Group Art Unit: 2624

Filed: September 25, 2003

Examiner: Jonathan C. Schaffer

For: STEREOSCOPIC IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS AND THE  
METHOD OF PROCESSING STEREOSCOPIC IMAGES

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Sir:

As stated in the Interview Summary of Examiner Schaffer, dated April 1, 2008, the Examiner stated that if the other independent claims were amended to include the verbiage of the amended claim 8 they should also overcome the prior art of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph P. Hrutka, Esq.  
Registration No.: 53,918  
Sean M. McGinn, Esq.  
Registration No.: 34,386

Date: 4/8/8

**MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
LAW GROUP, PLLC**  
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200  
Vienna, Virginia 22182-3817  
(703) 761-4100  
Customer No. 21254



# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/669,790

Applicant(s)

SOGAWA, YOSHIYUKI

Examiner

JONATHAN C. SCHAFFER

Art Unit

2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JONATHAN C. SCHAFFER.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) JOSEPH HRUTKA (REG# 53918).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 18 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Matsumoto et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With regards to claims 1 and 10 an agreement was not reached. Claim 8 appears to overcome the prior art of record and if the other independent claims were amended to include the verbiage of the amended claim 8 they should also overcome the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bhavesh Mehta/ SPE, TC 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required